

Application No. 10/669,033
Amendment dated December 15, 2005
Reply to Office Action of June 29, 2005

Docket No. CM06376J

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 8, 10, 12 and 15 have been amended. Claims 9, 11 and 14 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 1-8, 10, 12-13 and 15, 16 remain in the application.

Rejection - 35 U.S.C. § 102:

Claims 1-4, 8-12 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Wong et al (U.S. Patent 5,881,103).

Claims 1 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Voltz (U.S. Patent 6,859,538).

Independent claims 1, 8 and 15 have been amended. Claims 9, 11 and 14 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. No new matter has been added. The Wong reference is directed towards accessory receive and transmit equalization from a nonvolatile memory in the accessory. Applicants have amended the claims to emphasize non-equalization parameters.

As to the Voltz reference, claim 1, as amended is believed to overcome the rejection. The Voltz reference uses memory for identity information (ID code, identifier data) in conjunction with a look-up table. The technology taught by Voltz was addressed on page 4, lines 3-6 and page 5, lines 2, 3. No such identifier, look-up table or list is required by Applicants' invention as claimed.

Accordingly, the rejection of independent claims 1, 8 and 15 is overcome. Claims 2-4, 6-7, 10, 12, and 16 provide further limitations to what are believed to be allowable claims and hence are also in condition for allowance.

Application No. 10/669,033
Amendment dated December 15, 2005
Reply to Office Action of June 29, 2005

Docket No. CM06376J

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103:

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong in view of Curtiss et al (Publication No. US2003/0162562).

Claims 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wong in view of Tate et al (US Patent 6,009,184).

Claims 5, 12 and 13 are dependent claims providing further limitations to what are believed to be allowable independent claims and hence are also in condition for allowance.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

Application No. 10/669,033
Amendment dated December 15, 2005
Reply to Office Action of June 29, 2005

Docket No. CM06376J

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account 502117, Motorola, Inc, with any fees which may be required in the prosecution of this application.

Respectfully submitted,

December 15, 2005

Motorola, Inc.
8000 West Sunrise Boulevard
Law Department - MD1610
Plantation, Florida 33322
Customer Number: 24273

By: 

Barbara R. Doutre
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 39,505
Tel: 954-723-6449
Fax: 954-723-3871
E-Mail: Barbara.Doutre@motorola.com